

Migration Partnership Facility (MPF)

Guidelines for Call for Proposals

Migration Partnership Projects (AMIF)

04 April 2025 update



Table of Contents

1. OVERVIEW OF THE CALL FOR PROPOSALS	4
1.1. Background.....	4
1.2. Objectives of the programme and priority issues	5
1.3. Financial allocation provided by the contracting authority	6
1.4. Rules for this call for proposals	7
1.4.1. Eligibility criteria.....	7
1.4.2. Eligibility of applicants (i.e. lead applicant and co-applicant(s))	7
1.4.3. Eligible actions: actions for which an application may be made.....	8
1.4.4. Eligibility of costs: costs that can be included.....	14
1.5. Exclusion criteria.....	16
1.5.1. Exclusion from participation in the Call for Proposals	16
1.5.2. Exclusion from award.....	17
2. APPLICATION, EVALUATION AND AWARD	17
2.1. How to apply and the procedures to follow.....	17
2.1.1. Concept notes	17
2.1.2. Where and how to submit a concept note	18
2.1.3. Evaluation and selection of concept notes	18
2.1.4. Full application	21
2.1.5. Where and how to submit a full application.....	21
2.1.6. Evaluation and selection of applications.....	21
2.2. Notification of the contracting authority's decision.....	24
2.2.1. Indicative timetable	25
2.3. Conditions for implementation after the contracting authority's decision to award a grant.....	25
2.4. Documents to be submitted.....	26
2.5. Grant Contract documents	26
2.6. Data protection.....	27
3. USEFUL LINKS	28

ACRONYMS

AMIF: Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund

CAMM: Common Agendas on Migration and Mobility

DG ENEST: EC Directorate-General for Enlargement and the Eastern Neighbourhood

DG HOME: EC Directorate General for Migration and Home Affairs

DG INTPA: EC Directorate-General for International Partnerships

DG MENA: EC Directorate-General for the Middle East, North Africa and the Gulf **EC:** European Commission

EEAS: European External Action Service

EU: European Union

GAMM: Global Approach to Migration and Mobility

ICMPD: International Centre for Migration Policy Development

ISF: Internal Security Fund

ISF-B: Internal Security Fund Borders & Visas

ISF-P: Internal Security Fund Police

MP: Mobility Partnership

MPF: Migration Partnership Facility

MS: (EU) Member State

SC: (MPF) Steering Committee

1. OVERVIEW OF THE CALL FOR PROPOSALS

1.1. BACKGROUND

The Migration Partnership Facility (MPF) supports the implementation of the external dimension of the EU's migration policy, with flexible support to EU member states (EU MS) and partner countries with a particular focus on priority regions including Southern Neighbourhood, Sub-Saharan Africa, Central Asia and Silk Routes.

The MPF is implemented by ICMPD through funding from the Directorate-General for Migration and Home Affairs (DG HOME) of the European Commission (EC). The overall strategic guidance, leadership and oversight for the implementation of the MPF is carried out by the MPF Steering Committee (SC). The SC comprises representatives of the EU institutions (DG HOME, DG MENA, DG ENEST, DG INTPA and EEAS) and is chaired by DG HOME, with ICMPD serving as the secretariat and providing technical support/assistance for its functioning.

The Facility has evolved since 2016 and entered its fourth phase of support in December 2022, but remains at heart a mechanism to support EU member states' engagement with partner countries (Mobility Partnerships (MPs), Common Agendas on Migration and Mobility (CAMMs) and other cooperation frameworks) on agreed migration priorities through three funding frameworks – AMIF¹, ISF-Police² and ISF Borders & Visa³.

The focus of the MPF and, consequently, of these guidelines is aligned to the EC's 2020 "New Pact on Migration and Asylum"⁴, as well as Communications on "Attracting Skills and Talent to the EU"⁵ and "Skills and Talent Mobility"⁶.

The Pact seeks to reinforce the interlinkages between different migration policy areas and internal and external dimensions more thoroughly than in the past. It confirms the need *"(...) to include the EU's relationships with third countries, as the internal and external dimensions of migration are inextricably linked: working closely with partners has a direct impact on the effectiveness of policies inside the EU"*. The EU's aim with partner countries is to ensure mutual support in addressing challenges linked to migration. The Pact makes specific reference to legal migration as a priority, confirming that while Member States retain the right to determine volumes of admission for people coming from third countries to seek work, the EU's common migration policy needs to reflect the integration of the EU economy and the interdependence of Member States' labour markets.

As announced in the Pact, the Commission has launched Talent Partnerships in the form of an enhanced commitment to support legal migration and mobility between the EU and key partners countries and better match labour market needs and skills in a mutually beneficial way. The new approach will be implemented in close consultation with participating EU Member States and partner countries to factor in particular contexts, needs and interests.

The Communication on 'Attracting Skills and Talent to the EU' moreover sets out three pillars of a sustainable EU policy on legal migration:

1 [Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund](#)

2 Previously Internal Security Fund – Police, now Internal Security Fund (ISF)

3 Previously Internal Security Fund – Borders and Visa, now Border Management and Visa Instrument (BMVI)

4 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, The Council, The European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a New Pact for Migration and Asylum, 23 September 2020, Pg2. For the full text, please click [here](#)

5 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions 'Attracting skills and talent to the EU'. For the full text, please click [here](#).

6 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, The Council, The European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on Skills and Talent Mobility. For the full text, please click [here](#).

- a legislative pillar to simplify the procedures for the admission of workers of various skill levels to the EU, their rights and mobility within the EU;
- an operational pillar, addressing the challenge of international matching; and
- a forward-looking pillar, based on three specific priorities: care, youth and innovation.

The document also sets out priority countries for the Talent Partnerships, which have been incorporated in these Guidelines.

On 15 November 2023, the Commission adopted a Skills and Talent Mobility Package comprising a series of new measures designed to make the EU more attractive to talent from outside the EU. Among others, measures outlined in the package include:

- A proposal to set-up a EU Talent Pool: this platform will facilitate the recruitment of jobseekers from non-EU countries in EU-wide shortage occupations, making international recruitment easier and faster and enabling employers to access a wider pool of skills and talent. Under the Commission proposal for an EU Talent Pool, jobseekers who have developed or validated their skills under a talent partnership will receive a pass.
- Measures for easier, faster recognition of qualifications gained in third countries: this will be helpful for employers seeking skilled workers and for third country nationals (TCNs) seeking access to EU labour markets.

1.2. OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME AND PRIORITY ISSUES

The **overall objective** of the MPF is to support the implementation of the external dimension of EU's migration policy⁷. The MPF is currently implemented through four components:

MPF Components			
Grants	Technical Assistance and Support (TAS)	Dialogue	Knowledge and Communication

Under the Grants Component to the MPF, the **priorities of this Call for Proposals** are:

1. Lot 1: Support to the EU's migration priorities with partner countries

Actions shall promote and support the EU's migration priorities with partner countries, taking into account the overall level of cooperation on all aspects of migration management, as per the *Pact on Migration and Asylum*. Key priorities identified under any relevant current and future cooperation frameworks are targeted for support through this Lot.

2. Lot 2: Support to the implementation of projects and interventions aligned to the Talent Partnerships initiative

Capitalising on the momentum to date, the MPF will continue to support legal and labour migration projects aligned to the EU's geographic and thematic migration priorities, including the new Talent Partnerships, and detailed in the 2022 and 2023 Communications.

The actions funded under this Lot shall seek to:

1. Offer additional safe and legal pathways to migrate for work or skills development;

⁷ In line with the [Pact on Migration and Asylum](#).

2. Contribute to addressing labour shortage gaps in certain sectors of the labour markets of EU Member States;
3. Create and support enabling environments for mobility and skilling;
4. Facilitate or further develop cooperation with third countries on comprehensive management of migratory flows, including preventing irregular migration and readmission of irregular migrants.

Key criteria that will inform the funding of projects under Lot 2 are:

- The degree of contribution to the priorities defined in the Pact on Migration & Asylum - particularly the concept and the objectives of the Talent Partnerships, as outlined in the 2022 Communication on 'Attracting skills and talent to the EU' and in the 2023 'Skills and Talent Mobility' package.
- The priority countries mentioned in the Communication - Egypt, Morocco, Tunisia, Pakistan and Bangladesh, with which Talent Partnerships have been launched. In addition, special consideration will be given to other partner countries (such as Senegal and Nigeria) once Talent Partnerships with them will be launched.
- The overall partner country cooperation with the EU (including cooperation on return and readmission, which will be assessed, where relevant, in line with Article 25a of the visa code).

1.3. FINANCIAL ALLOCATION PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

The overall indicative amount available under this Call for Proposals as of 04 April 2025 is EUR 29,400,000, all from [AMIF](#) sources.

This Call for Proposals has two (2) Lots:

- **Lot 1: Support to the EU's migration priorities with partner countries**

Approximately EUR 5,400,000 is available for projects under this Lot.

- **Lot 2: Support to the implementation of pilot projects and interventions aligned to the new EU Talent Partnerships**

Approximately EUR 24,000,000 is available for projects under this Lot.

If the allocation indicated for a specific Lot cannot be absorbed due to insufficient quality or number of proposals received, the contracting authority reserves the right to reallocate the remaining funds to the other Lot.

The contracting authority also reserves the right not to award all available funds.

Size of grants

Any grant requested under this call for proposals must fall between the following minimum and maximum amounts:

- Lot 1
 - Minimum amount: EUR 200,000
 - Maximum amount: no specific maximum is in place; however, applicants should consider – as in all project proposals - the need to demonstrate value for money and appreciate the programme's intention to fund multiple projects within its financial envelope.
- Lot 2
 - Minimum amount: EUR 1,000,000

- **Maximum** amount: no specific maximum is in place; however, applicants should consider – as in all project proposals - the need to demonstrate value for money and appreciate the programme's intention to fund multiple projects within its financial envelope.

Co-financing

With AMIF funds, the **MPF may finance up to 95% of the total eligible cost** of the action. The balance (i.e. the difference between the total eligible cost of the action and the amount requested from the Contracting Authority) must be financed from other sources. In-kind contributions shall also be accepted as co-financing.

1.4. RULES FOR THIS CALL FOR PROPOSALS

1.4.1. Eligibility criteria

There are three sets of eligibility criteria, relating to:

- (1) the actors:
 - the '**lead applicant**', i.e. the entity submitting the application form (1.4.2.);
 - if any, its **co-applicant(s)** (**where it is not specified otherwise, the lead applicant and its co-applicant(s) are hereinafter jointly referred as 'applicant(s)'**) (1.4.2.);
- (2) the actions:
 - actions for which a grant may be awarded (1.4.3.);
- (3) the costs:
 - types of costs that may be taken into account in setting the grant amount (1.4.4.).

1.4.2. Eligibility of applicants (i.e. lead applicant and co-applicant(s))

The lead applicant may act individually or with co-applicant(s). See details below for each Lot.

In general, inclusion of co-applicants – particularly in the partner country - is highly recommended and taken into consideration in the evaluation scoring (see evaluation grids later in the document).

If awarded the grant contract, the **lead applicant** will become the beneficiary identified as the 'coordinator' in the Special Conditions. The coordinator is the main interlocutor with the contracting authority. It represents and acts on behalf of any other co-beneficiary (if any) and coordinates the design and implementation of the action.

Co-applicants participate in designing and implementing the action, and the costs they incur are eligible in the same way as those incurred by the lead applicant.

Applicants and potential co-applicants have to fulfil the following eligibility criteria:

- Applicants and co-applicants have to be legal persons. Applications from natural persons are not eligible;
- Only applications from EU MS participating in the implementation of the AMIF fund are eligible⁸ for AMIF funds.

Moreover, **Lead Applicants** have to be:

- A public body of an EU MS (at central, regional or local levels); or

⁸ Denmark does not participate in the AMIF fund.

- An agency, institute, operator or other legal entity with an official mandate to operate on behalf of a public body of an EU Member State (EU-financed agencies cannot participate as lead applicant but can participate as co-applicant on a no cost basis); or
- A private or public law body registered in an EU Member State (e.g. NGO, foundation, private company, university, etc.) operating on a non-profit basis.

Please note that in case of award to an entity that is not a pillar-assessed organisation nor a central government body/government agency, a due diligence process will be carried out by ICMPD during the contracting phase to assess the financial and operational capacity of the applicant(s). Such a process may result in the need for the lead applicant to provide a pre-financing guarantee upon signature of the grant contract. See template in Annex VIII to the Grant contract.

a) **Co-applicants** have to be:

- Public bodies of a partner country (at central, regional or local levels); or
- Public bodies of the same or another EU MS; or
- International organisations (IOs), non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and other private law bodies, established in the EU or in partner countries that cooperate with an EU MS in the implementation of migration-related actions, working on a non-profit basis.

Additional criteria for Lot 1:

- Where the lead applicant is a non-state actor, it is mandatory to have a co-applicant from the public sector (at national, regional, local level) in a relevant EU Member State.

Additional criteria for Lot 1 and 2:

- If the lead applicant is not a governmental body at the central level, a letter of support from a relevant central body must be provided with the Concept Note (unless they are a co-applicant).
- In case of no co-applicants from central government institution(s) in the target partner country(ies), a letter of support⁹ from the key central government concerned institution(s) in the partner country(ies) must be provided with the Concept Note.

Geographic scope

All proposals shall involve at least one EU Member State and a partner country from the regions detailed below:

- For Lot 1: Southern Neighbourhood, SubSaharan Africa, Silk Route countries, and Central Asia.
- For Lot 2: applications should target any country in line with the political priorities and philosophy set out by the Commission in the Pact on Migration and Asylum¹⁰ - and in particular with the Talent Partnerships. First and foremost, priority will be given to applications targeting Egypt, Morocco, Tunisia, Pakistan and Bangladesh, with which Talent Partnerships have been launched. In addition, for Senegal and Nigeria, the same level of priority will be given once Talent Partnerships will be launched.

1.4.3. Eligible actions: actions for which an application may be made

Duration

The duration of an action (i.e. end date of grant contract) cannot go beyond 30 November 2028.

⁹ Exceptionally, and upon consultation with ICMPD and the European Commission services, where the political context does not allow for such support by means of an official letter, a confirmation of no-objection to the Concept Note by the key institution(s) of the partner country(ies) concerned or other such indication may be accepted on a case-by-case basis.

¹⁰ Western Balkans and Eastern Partnership countries are however excluded due to significant alternative EU financial frameworks available.

Eligible fields, themes and activities

- **Lot 1: Support to the EU's migration priorities with partner countries**

The objective of Lot 1 is to promote and support the EU's migration priorities with partner countries, taking into account the overall level of cooperation. Key priorities identified in any relevant cooperation framework are targeted for support through this Lot.

Eligible fields, themes and activities for applications:

- Activities seeking to improve partner countries' policy and legal frameworks for migration and mobility without any actual mobility dimension to be funded;
- Activities seeking to strengthen information, outreach and protection of migrants;
- Review and development of legislation, policy documents/strategies, action plans, policy tools and instruments, standard operating procedures linked to migration management;
- Capacity-building of partner country authorities in legal migration management (including migration monitoring);
- Capacity-building of partner country authorities related to readmission as well as reintegration of migrants illegally staying in the EU;
- Activities seeking to develop or strengthen practices and policies on migrants' contributions to national development;
- Activities seeking to strengthen partner countries' policy and legal frameworks as well as capacity-building on asylum policy and protection in line with international standards;
- Migration dialogue at EU level with relevant partner countries, including:
 - Organisation of conferences, expert meetings and study visits;
 - Studies, reviews and mappings;
 - Evaluation and monitoring activities.

In addition, applicants are invited to promote structural intra EU cross-border cooperation, including through the establishment of EU Member States consortia.

a. **Governance**

The collaborative approaches required for the successful delivery of partnership projects often require dedicated governance structures to facilitate strategic and operational oversight. The establishment of the following types of bodies should be considered with due regard to project-specific circumstances:

- Steering Committees;
- Advisory bodies; and
- Operational committees.

- **Lot 2: Support to the implementation of projects and interventions aligned to the Talent Partnerships**

In line with the Talent Partnership initiative and approach, there is will on the part of the EU and its Member States to engage with partner countries more holistically on labour, skills and mobility for mutual benefit. The MPF seeks to support projects that draw a thread across these three dimensions.

All labour mobility projects should be based on a thorough analysis of labour market demand/available skills in Member States and countries of origin, and be in line with the joint

priorities identified in the context of each Talent Partnership, notably the sectors of interest¹¹. Each proposal should demonstrate that such analysis has been undertaken and informs the approach.

All proposed actions need to include a mobility component that offers a concrete possibility to migrate permanently or temporarily to Europe for work and/or training purposes. On the basis of the results of the first generations of labour mobility projects, the number of mobilities to be envisaged should aim at reaching at least 100 units, in particular for projects in the second phase of previously financed projects. A lower number of mobilities could be envisaged in particular circumstances, notably linked to the need to set up new models of cooperation. The number of mobilities to be funded through the project should be however always cost-effective, realistic and in line with the possibilities offered by the country context and chosen approach. Economies of scale should be sought to the largest degree possible.

Projects may choose to use existing legal pathways or propose the establishment of new migration modalities or mobility schemes in cooperation with relevant, competent stakeholders at the national level and involving relevant types of actors (e.g. diaspora, local administration, the private sector). An in-depth analysis and mapping of viable pathways should precede and inform the design of any proposed intervention.

Capacity-building activities in countries of origin may be included in the projects, aimed at increasing the capabilities of origin countries to reap the maximum benefits of such forms of migration for their own development, as well as ensuring that the structures, policies, practices and procedures are in place to facilitate implementation.

Projects seeking a first phase of funding to test and trial innovative approaches in countries without existing labour mobility architecture should carefully consider the level of engagement needed to build the effective institutional and stakeholder relationships required for success and should allow sufficient time for such organic processes.

The private sector – firms, recruitment agencies, etc. – that will be the end-user/intermediary of the newly available labour mobilities or that are necessary to participate in the up-skilling activities should be involved in project design and be a key partner in all stages of delivery or can choose to lead interventions themselves. Lessons learned from implementing previous generations of projects indicate that the private sector's involvement later in delivery leads to poor buy-in or misalignment of skills with demand.

A key consideration is value for money and eventual sustainability, replicability and scalability. Projects funded in the framework of the MPF are expected to find traction and become self-sustaining (i.e., the mobility dynamic, if not the project structure). Applicants are encouraged to consider how small-scale initiatives may be institutionalised and replicated to allow for economies of scale – be it by expanding impact and engagement nationally or with the involvement of more than one EU Member State. The application would need to describe how the mobilities and/or the training launched under the project are expected to continue after the end of the project. In particular, second phases of previously financed projects need to demonstrate a particular added value and cannot constitute a simple continuation of previous phases. Likewise, careful consideration should be given to eventual cost models for mobilities – i.e., who will pay, how will the funding be generated, etc.

In addition, applicants are invited to promote structural intra EU cross-border cooperation, including through the establishment of EU Member States consortia.

¹¹ Namely the sectors of interests jointly identified by Member States and Partner countries such as: ICT, long-term care, agriculture, transport, horticulture, food processing and tourism, construction, transport and logistic, textile/garment, ship building industry.

The 2023 Commission Communication on Skills and Talent Mobility outlines a number of practical obstacles to successful labour migration into the EU, which would need to be addressed in interventions seeking sustainable and structural change in this area of work. These include:

- Challenges linked to the recognition of qualifications of third country nationals
- A lack of understanding and “trust” in skills and qualifications gained in third countries
- The lack of a welcoming environment for newcomers (and their families)
- Insufficiently accessible and up-to-date skills intelligence.

Proposed interventions should take these barriers into due consideration and develop strategies to address the resulting challenges, making use of and linkages with tools the EU is developing in this context, such as the EU Talent Pool, the Commission’s Regulated Professions Database¹², the Recommendation on the recognition of qualifications of all legally residing third country nationals¹³, or the service offerings of the European Training Foundation (ETF), the ENIC-NARIC networks¹⁴ or the European Quality Assurance Register for Higher Education.

Models of mobility may include:

1. Mobility schemes for permanent/ longer-term migration

In line with EU Member States interests and with due regard to third countries' possible concerns related to brain drain, schemes may address structural labour market needs and offer placements/pathways for permanent or long-term migration into the EU.

Well-designed programmes can reap benefits for both the country of origin and the EU country of destination by providing potential remittances and skilled labour for the former and addressing labour shortages and strengthening cultural diversity for the latter.

To mitigate the impact of brain drain, several potential investments may be made and should be considered – for example focusing on training/skills investment in a cohort from which only an agreed-upon percentage would be targeted to leave the country. Such skills investments can reap indirect rewards through curriculum modernisation and quality improvement.

Programming should include provisions to safeguard migrants’ rights, strategies to avoid wage dumping, as well as relevant and appropriate opportunities for integration into the destination country societies as appropriate in a particular context. Linkages to diaspora networks (in the countries of origin and destination), both at pre-departure in the country of origin as well as when mobilised, should be made as relevant.

2. Circular and temporary labour mobility schemes

Circular migration schemes entail short-term mobility for individuals, ideally foreseen on a recurrent basis (seasonal work, for example). Such schemes should be seen as a means to address short-term, repetitive labour market demands in Europe and address a labour surplus in a third country. In such circular schemes, the rights of migrants need to be particularly well-considered to ensure protection and adherence to national norms. Added value dimensions such as upskilling, off-season employment, reintegration support in the country of origin etc., may also be considered.

¹² <https://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/regprof/home>

¹³ Directive 2005/36/EC

¹⁴ The ENIC network (European Network of Information Centres) and NARIC network (National Academic Recognition Information Centres) cooperate closely. ENICs are recognition authorities of the state parties of the Lisbon Recognition Convention, where the secretariat is provided by Council of Europe and UNESCO and the NARICs are recognition authorities of the Erasmus+ Programme countries. All NARICs are also ENICs (except the Greek recognition authority). The two networks have a joint Work Programme, Charter and Board.

Circular labour migration schemes should be prioritised only in cases where there are clear linkages with seasonal peaks of work demand, for example, in the agriculture sector or the tourism industry. Labour mobility projects on temporary/circular migration should aim to develop a set of common standards (e.g., thorough pre-departure qualification, integration support, reintegration measures etc.).

3. Schemes with a view to aligning education and training standards seeking to enable future labour migration

Skills development and certification is essential to encourage labour mobility. Schemes addressing the challenges linked to the recognition of qualifications of third country nationals such as validation of skills, the lack of understanding and “trust” in skills and qualifications gained in third countries, insufficiently accessible and up-to-date skills intelligence would have a particular added value. Notably, the alignment of curricula can pave the way for potential future labour migration with mutual benefit. The involvement of private sector stakeholders in the designing of these curricula allows to respond to the real needs of employers.

In this kind of project, partners develop or address joint or enhanced national-level vocational education and training schemes with dedicated mobility components that foster exchange and/or test jointly developed training curricula and certification.

Building on the lessons learnt from past projects, and notwithstanding the likely need to tailor the activities to the specificities of each project, the following components appear as key pillars to ensure the success of mobility projects:

a. Institutional capacity building

The successful implementation of the projects counts on many stakeholders, including the involvement of the private sector and institutional structures for managing labour markets and labour migration of third countries, which may turn into public-private partnerships. For this reason, it is essential to develop where needed the institutional capacity of key stakeholders in countries of origin and destination. Where relevant, these activities should build on activities supported under other EU funded programmes (eg. NDICI-Global Europe programmes). Public bodies competent on the labour market as well as labour migration and education/training (i.e. ministries, national agencies, employment agencies) should be targeted to ensure successful recruitment of potential migrants, adequate development of the skills profiles needed in origin countries, as well as adequate monitoring of the professional development of labour migrants. In this regard, the existence and development of labour market information systems would be beneficial.

b. Governance

The various stakeholders involved in mobility initiatives form a complex web of relations that call for dedicated governance structures to help both strategic and operational oversight in mobility initiatives.

The establishment of the following governance bodies should be considered with due regard to project-specific circumstances:

- Steering Committees;
- Advisory bodies; and
- Operational committees.

Depending on the local context and individual setup of a project, these bodies could be involved in strategic decision-making or have more consultative roles and be involved in various stages of implementation. They could be composed of public bodies competent on the labour market, migration and/or education and training (i.e. ministries, national agencies, employment

agencies) but also of other stakeholders such as donors, EU stakeholders, industry associations, trade unions, representatives of the private sector, and others as appropriate.

Terms of Reference, including periodic meeting schedules, may be drafted and become part of partnership agreements, which are useful tools for establishing efficient and sustainable cooperation frameworks between partners in a mobility project.

c. Pre-departure engagement

Pre-departure measures should be developed, if necessary, to create a 'pool' of candidates from which the private sector/MS can draw. Such measures might include:

- Recruitment of potential candidates;
- Training;
- Skills enhancement;
- Workplace awareness training; and
- Recruitment to the workplace: demand-offer skills matching.

d. Mobility phase in the EU Member State

Mobility and, where possible, further training and support to mobility candidates in a Member State's territory, should be carried out in cooperation with employers, employment agencies and (vocational) training organisations of partner countries. Activities should be implemented to develop migrants' knowledge and skills for potential further use upon return and the key labour function performed by the individual while in Europe.

Indicative activities might include:

- Mobility support (travel, accommodation);
- Support measures;
- Workplace monitoring;
- Entrepreneurship training or other skills enhancement components.

e. Integration in the country of destination

In the event that the project facilitates long-term or permanent employment in the destination country or in the event that mobility candidates decide to stay in Europe, as a result of a job offer obtained in the course of the project, a holistic approach to the social and economic integration of the candidates should be taken, in order to promote a legal, safe and development-oriented labour migration and to ensure fair working conditions and the continuing upskilling of talent in line with labour market needs and qualifications.

Indicative activities might include:

- Coordination of soft-landing and integration activities (e.g. administrative support)
- Language and soft skills training
- Awareness-raising and intercultural workshops for employers
- Workplace mentoring.

And should involve relevant actors (diaspora, trade unions, employers and relevant private and public sector bodies etc.) active in the particular country of destination.

And/or

f. Reintegration in the country of origin following mobility

Where talent will not be retained in the EU and depending on the type of mobility programme implemented (circular and/or temporary migration, rather than permanent), successful reintegration should be supported by institutional capacity building during mobility to enable partner countries and their institutions to reintegrate returning migrants effectively. Returning migrants should be empowered to benefit from their gained experience or, where appropriate, further enhance their skills and knowledge.

Indicative activities might include:

- Diaspora engagement;
- Entrepreneurship training or other skills enhancement components;
- Mentoring for recruitments;
- Recruitment to the workplace: demand-offer skills matching upon return;
- Microfinance provision for start-up funding;
- Local community re-integration.

The Talent Partnerships offer important opportunities to realise initiatives that respond to operational, institutional, and economic needs in both countries of origin and destination, providing a platform at European level for the coordination of engagement and cooperation based on a Team Europe approach.

Careful consideration of the labour market and skills' needs in the EU Member States and partner countries, and the adoption of a Team Europe approach to common legal challenges to migration are therefore crucial when drafting a proposal. A thorough consultation should be carried out with the authorities of the countries involved and with the countries that may benefit directly or indirectly from the project proposal and the envisaged labour migration model.

Any proposed action should demonstrate complementarity with other past, and ongoing labour mobility initiatives (funded by the EU or otherwise) within the same country/region and should seek to maximise synergies with such projects.

Lot 1 & 2 Ineligible Actions

The following types of action are ineligible for MPF funding:

- Actions concerned solely or mainly with individual sponsorships for participation in workshops, seminars, conferences and congresses;
- Actions concerned solely with scholarships/subsidies for studies that could be covered by another EU framework of support (such as Erasmus+, Erasmus Mundus, etc.);
- Actions that should preferably be funded by other relevant EU frameworks/funding sources.

1.4.4. Eligibility of costs: costs that can be included

Only 'eligible costs' can be covered by a grant. The categories of costs that are eligible and non-eligible are indicated below. The budget is both a cost estimate and an overall ceiling for 'eligible costs'.

Eligible direct costs

To be eligible under this call for proposals, **costs must comply with the provisions of Article 14 of the [General Conditions to the standard grant contract](#).**

Applicants and co-applicants agree that, in case of contract award:

- the **expenditure verification(s)** referred to in Article 15.6 of the General Conditions to the standard grant contract shall be carried out by an independent audit firm identified by the Contracting Authority (ICMPD).
- A **final evaluation** of the project will be carried out by independent and external evaluators identified by the Contracting Authority (ICMPD). For projects of longer duration and/or high complexity, a mid-term evaluation may be carried out as well.

The costs related to the abovementioned expenditure verifications and final evaluations are covered by a separate MPF source and **need not be included in the grant budget.**

Purchase of limited equipment, which is indispensable to implement project activities (e.g. capacity building support and training), may be eligible.

Salary costs of the **personnel of national administrations may be eligible** to the extent that they relate to the cost of activities that the relevant public authority would not carry out if the action were not undertaken. Such costs need to be in line with the official remuneration policies of the institution as well as in line with local legislation. The method for salary calculation should be clearly explained in the budget.

Contingency reserve

The budget may include a **contingency reserve** not exceeding 5% of the estimated direct eligible costs. However, it should be noted that it can only be used with the **prior written authorisation** of the Contracting Authority.

Eligible indirect costs

The **indirect costs** incurred in carrying out the action may be eligible for flat-rate funding, but the total **must not exceed 7%** of the estimated total eligible direct costs. Indirect costs are eligible provided that they do not include costs assigned to another budget heading in the standard grant contract. The lead applicant may be asked to justify the percentage requested before the grant contract is signed. However, once the flat rate has been fixed in the special conditions of the grant contract, no supporting documents need to be provided at reporting stage.

If any of the applicants or co-applicants are in receipt of an operating grant financed by the EU, they may not claim indirect costs on incurred costs within the proposed budget for the action.

Contributions in kind

Contributions in kind mean the provision of goods or services to beneficiaries free of charge by a third party. If considered necessary or appropriate, the Contracting Authority may accept co-financing in kind. In such cases, the value of such contributions must not exceed:

- a) Either the costs actually borne and duly supported by accounting documents; or
- b) The costs generally accepted on the concerned market of reference.

If co-financing in kind is proposed, it must be explicitly mentioned in the budget proposal of the Full Application.

Ineligible costs

The following costs are not eligible:

- Debts and debt service charges (interest);
- Provisions for losses or potential future liabilities;
- Costs declared by the beneficiary(ies) and financed by another action or work programme receiving a European Union grant;
- Purchases of land or buildings, except where necessary for the direct implementation of the action, in which case ownership must be transferred in accordance with Article 7.5 of the general conditions of the standard grant contract, at the latest at the end of the action;
- Currency exchange losses.

Non-cumulative award

An action may only receive one grant from the EU budget, and in no circumstances shall the same costs be financed twice by the EU budget.

Non-retroactivity

No grant may be awarded retroactively for activities already started or completed. Unless specifically agreed, eligible costs cannot have been incurred prior to the start date of the Grant Contract.

Non-profit

The grant may not produce a profit for the applicant or the co-applicants. Profit is defined as a surplus of the receipts over the eligible costs approved by the Contracting Authority when the request for payment of the balance is made.

1.5. EXCLUSION CRITERIA

1.5.1. Exclusion from participation in the Call for Proposals

Applicants will be excluded from participating in the Call for Proposals procedure if they (legal persons) are in one of the following situations:

- a) They are bankrupt, subject to insolvency or winding-up procedures, their assets are being administered by a liquidator or by a court, they are in an arrangement with creditors, their business activities are suspended, or it is in any analogous situation arising from a similar procedure provided for under Union or national law;
- b) it has been established by a final judgement or a final administrative decision that they are in breach of their obligations relating to the payment of taxes or social security contributions in accordance with the applicable law;
- c) it has been established by a final judgement or a final administrative decision that they are guilty of grave professional misconduct by having violated applicable laws or regulations or ethical standards of the profession to which the person belongs, or by having engaged in any wrongful conduct which has an impact on its professional credibility where such conduct denotes wrongful intent or gross negligence, including, in particular, any of the following:
 - (i) fraudulently or negligently misrepresenting information required for the verification of the absence of grounds for exclusion or the fulfilment of eligibility and selection criteria or in the performance of a contract, an agreement or a grant decision;
 - (ii) entering into agreement with other persons with the aim of distorting competition;
 - (iii) violating intellectual property rights;
 - (iv) attempting to influence the decision-making process of the Commission/ the Agency during the award procedure;
 - (v) attempting to obtain confidential information that may confer upon it undue advantages in the award procedure.
- d) It has been established by a final judgement that they are guilty of any of the following:
 - (i) fraud, within the meaning of Article 3 of Directive (EU) 2017/1371 and Article 1 of the Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests, drawn up by the Council Act of 26 July 1995;
 - (ii) corruption, as defined in Article 4(2) of Directive (EU) 2017/1371 or active corruption within the meaning of Article 3 of the Convention on the fight against corruption involving officials of the European Communities or officials of Member States of the European Union, drawn up by the Council Act of 26 May 1997, or conduct referred to in Article 2(1) of Council Framework Decision 2003/568/JHA, or corruption as defined in the applicable law;
 - (iii) conduct related to a criminal organisation, as referred to in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA;
 - (iv) money laundering or terrorist financing within the meaning of Article 1(3), (4) and (5) of Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
 - (v) terrorist offences or offences related to terrorist activities as well as of inciting, aiding, abetting or attempting to commit such offences as defined in Articles 3, 14 and Title III of Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2017 on combating terrorism;

- (vi) child labour or other offences concerning trafficking in human beings as referred to in Article 2 of Directive 2011/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- e) they have shown significant deficiencies in complying with the main obligations in the performance of a contract, an agreement or a grant decision financed by the European Union's budget, which has led to its early termination or to the application of liquidated damages or other contractual penalties, or which has been discovered following checks, audits or investigations by an Authorising Officer, OLAF or the Court of Auditors;
- f) it has been established by a final judgment or final administrative decision that they have committed an irregularity within the meaning of Article 1(2) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95;
- g) it has been established by a final judgment or final administrative decision that the person has created an entity under a different jurisdiction with the intent to circumvent fiscal, social or any other legal obligations of mandatory application in the jurisdiction of its registered office, central administration or principal place of business.

For further detailed information, please refer to Annex V – Declaration on Honour of the Full Application.

1.5.2. Exclusion from award

Applicants will not be granted financial assistance if, in the course of the grant award procedure, they:

- a) Are subject to a conflict of interest in connection with the action;
- b) Are guilty of misrepresentation in supplying the information required as a condition of participation in the grant award procedure or fail to supply this information;
- c) Find themselves in one of the situations of exclusion, referred to in section 1.5.1.

Administrative and financial penalties may be imposed on applicants and possible co-applicants who are guilty of misrepresentation.

2. APPLICATION, EVALUATION AND AWARD

2.1. HOW TO APPLY AND THE PROCEDURES TO FOLLOW

The application process consists of the following two steps:

- Submission of an action Concept Note and, only in case of approval, an invitation to the following step:
- Submission of a Full Application

Concept Notes and, if applicable, Full Applications shall be drafted in English, by filling in the online forms found on the [MPF submission portal](#) (the relevant fields are indicated – for reference only – in annexes to these guidelines and available on the [MPF website](#)).

2.1.1. Concept notes

Concept Notes shall be submitted through [the MPF portal](#).

Please note that:

1. In the concept note, lead applicants must only provide an estimate of the total eligible cost of the action and the requested contribution as well as an indicative percentage of that contribution in relation to the eligible costs of the action. A detailed budget is to be submitted only by the lead applicants invited to submit a full application in the second phase. The amount requested in the full

application shall be in line with the amount indicated in the approved Concept Note and/or recommendations from the evaluators.

2. The core elements outlined in the Concept Note shall not be substantially modified in the full application (target countries, specific objectives, etc.) as it is against this brief information that the evaluation committee may extend the invitation to submit a full application.
3. Only the Concept Note form will be evaluated. No additional annexes shall be sent.

Clarifications will only be requested when the information provided is insufficient to conduct an objective assessment.

2.1.2. Where and how to submit a concept note

The Concept Note can be submitted anytime (no specific deadline) through the [MPF submission portal](#).

2.1.3. Evaluation and selection of concept notes

Concept Notes are checked by ICMPD for administrative and eligibility compliance and subsequently assessed by DG HOME. In case of positive assessment, the Concept Note will be shared with the concerned EU Delegation(s) to confirm complementarity and no duplication with ongoing and planned actions. At this stage, if considered necessary, a meeting between the applicant(s) and the EU services may be convened to clarify aspects of the concept note.

Within 30 calendar days, ICMPD will respond to the applicant with either a written invitation to submit a Full Application or a rejection.

The Concept Notes will be measured on the relevance and design of the proposed action.

The Concept Notes will receive an overall score using the breakdown in the evaluation grids below (separate grids for Lots 1 and 2).

The evaluation grid is divided into sections and subsections. Each subsection must be given a score between 1 and 5 in accordance with the following guidelines:

Score	Meaning
1	Very poor
2	Poor
3	Adequate
4	Good
5	Very good

Concept Note Evaluation Grid – LOT 1:

Section	Maximum score
1. Relevance of the action	30
1.1 How relevant is the proposal to the specific objective of the call for proposals stated in the guidelines for applicants <u>for Lot 1</u> ? (i.e. supporting the preparation and implementation of any relevant current and future cooperation frameworks by providing targeted, flexible and tailored assistance)	5x2*

To which extent are the expected results of the action aligned with the <u>Lot 1</u> priorities defined in the Guidelines for applicants? (section 1.2) (i.e. promoting and supporting the EU's migration priorities with partner countries, taking into account the overall level of cooperation and key priorities identified for cooperation/support under any relevant cooperation frameworks)	
1.2 How relevant is the proposal to the particular needs and constraints of the target country(ies), region(s) and/or relevant sectors? (This should include complementarity with other actions implemented within the same country/region providing technical assistance for EU MS and partner countries on the implementation of legal frameworks on migration and mobility, partner country policy and the EU New Pact on Migration and Asylum and avoidance of duplication)	5x2*
1.3 How strategically chosen and relevant are the co-applicants, final beneficiaries and target groups? (in case of no co-applicants, score to be decreased)	5
1.4 To what extent has the EU cooperation between MS been taken into consideration?	5
2. Design of the action	25
2.1 How coherent is the description of the concept? Do the expected results seem feasible and relevant? Are the proposed activities aligned with the expected results?	5
2.2 Does the design reflect a robust analysis of the problems involved?	5
2.3 To what extent does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g. innovation, good practices, scope for replication, extension, involvement of more than one EU MS, capitalisation on experience?)	5
2.4 To what extent have sustainability factors been appropriately considered in the concept (e.g. at financial, institutional and policy levels)?	5
2.5 To what extent is the proposed budget value (total amount of requested contribution) consistent with the expected results (value for money)?	5
TOTAL SCORE	55

*This score is multiplied by two (2) because of its importance

Only the Concept Notes with a score of at least 40 will be considered for pre-selection.

Concept Note Evaluation Grid – LOT 2:

Section	Maximum score
1. Priority countries	30
1.1 Does the action target one or more priority countries specifically identified in these Guidelines? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If YES, award 20 points (5x4)(the maximum) for Egypt, Morocco, Tunisia, Pakistan and Bangladesh. For other partner countries (e.g. Senegal and Nigeria), award 20 points (5x4) once the Talent Partnerships with these partner countries are launched. - If NO, does the action target one or more partner countries in line with the political priorities and philosophy set out in the new 'Pact on Migration and Asylum'. Award 0-12 (i.e 0, 1, 2 or 3 x 4) points based on assessed degree of relevance. 	5x4**

1.3 What is the overall level of partner country cooperation with the EU (including cooperation on return and readmission, which will be assessed, where relevant, in line with Article 25a of the visa code)?	5x2*
2. Relevance of the action	40
<p>2.1 To what extent are the expected results of the action aligned with the priorities defined in the 2020 New Pact on Migration & Asylum, in the EC Communication 'Attracting skills and talent to the EU,' as well as in the Guidelines for applicants for Lot 2?</p> <p><i>(Section 1.2 of the Guidelines: The actions funded under this Lot shall seek to offer additional safe and legal pathways to migrate for work or skills, contribute to addressing labour shortage gaps in certain sectors of the labour markets of EU Member States, create and support enabling environments for mobility and skilling, facilitate or further develop cooperation with third-countries on comprehensive management of migratory flows, including on issues such as return and readmission of irregular migrants).</i></p>	5x2*
2.2 How strategically chosen are co-applicants and/or implementing partners? Is there a relevant multi-stakeholder approach that considers the complex requirements of labour migration processes?	5
2.3 Are the sectors and professions targeted in line with the priorities expressed by partners and Member States in the context of the Talent Partnership (see footnote 14)? (if not applicable, score to be decreased).	5
2.4 Is the number and type of mobilities to be envisaged in line with the target of the Call? In case a lower number of mobilities is planned is this duly justified by a new or innovative model? If the target is 100 or more, award 10 points. Otherwise, award 0-10 points based on assessed degree of justification.	5x2*
2.5 To what degree the proposed action aims at addressing the challenges linked to the recognition of qualifications and validation of skills?	5
2.6 Is the proposal promoting structural intra EU cross-border cooperation, including through the establishment of EU Member States consortia?	5
3. Design and sustainability of the action	25
3.1 How coherent is the description of the concept? Do the expected results seem feasible and relevant? Are the proposed activities aligned with the expected results?	5
3.2 To what extent does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g. the promotion or consolidation of public-private partnerships, co-design of curricula for training and education, innovation, good practices, scope for replication, extension, capitalisation on experience?)	5
3.3 To what extent have sustainability factors been appropriately considered in the concept (e.g. at financial, institutional and policy levels)?	5
3.4 To what extent is the proposed budget value (total amount of requested contribution) consistent with the expected results (value for money)?	5
3.5 To what extent has the private sector being involved in the design of the action to ensure that the project responds to the labour needs of Member States and partner countries and that skills development activities are in line with their needs?	5
TOTAL SCORE	95

* This score is multiplied by two (2) because of its importance

** This score is multiplied by four (4) because of its importance

Only the Concept Notes with a score of at least 70 will be considered for pre-selection. A score of below 5 for 1.2 shall be ground for rejection.

2.1.4. Full application

Lead applicants invited to submit a Full Application following the pre-selection of their Concept Note must fill in the online application template found on the MPF portal. Lead applicants should then keep strictly to the format of the online grant application form. **No additional annexes (other than those requested) should be sent.**

Clarifications will only be requested when the information provided is unclear and thus prevents the evaluation committee from conducting an objective assessment.

2.1.5. Where and how to submit a full application

Full Applications shall be submitted through the [MPF website](#) within 60 calendar days of receipt of the written invitation to submit based on a positive assessment of the Concept Note.

A request to extend beyond these 60 calendar days may be agreed with due motivation following a request in writing (email) by the Lead Applicant. Any such correspondence shall be sent to MPF@icmpd.org

2.1.6. Evaluation and selection of applications

All applications will go through an Administrative and Eligibility Check carried out by ICMPD.

Eligible applications will be subject to a consultation process with the MPF Steering Committee, EU Member States and EU services active in the target partner country to check potential synergies or risks of duplications with other activities implemented, ongoing or being planned in the concerned countries.

Eligible applications will then be examined and evaluated by an evaluation committee comprised of relevant EU services (DG HOME, EEAS, DG INTPA, DG MENA or DG ENEST) - based on the criteria in the evaluation grid below¹⁵.

There are two types of evaluation criteria: Financial and Operational criteria and Award criteria.

The Financial and Operational criteria help to evaluate the applicant(s)'s and co-applicant(s)'s operational capacity and the lead applicant's financial capacity and are used to verify that they have:

- Stable and sufficient sources of finance to maintain their activity throughout the proposed action and, where appropriate, to participate in its funding (this only applies to lead applicants);
- Management capacity, professional competencies and qualifications required to complete the proposed action successfully. This applies to applicants and any co-applicant(s).

The Award criteria help evaluate the quality of the applications in relation to the objectives and priorities set forth in the guidelines and award grants to projects that maximise the overall effectiveness of the Call for Proposals. They help select applications that the Contracting Authority can be confident will comply with its

¹⁵ Please note that there are separate evaluation grids for Lot 1 and Lot 2.

objectives and priorities. They cover the relevance of the action, its consistency with the objectives of the Call for Proposals, quality, expected impact, sustainability and cost-effectiveness.

Full Application Evaluation Grid – LOT 1:

Section	Maximum Score
1. Financial and operational capacity	10
1.1 To what extent does the lead applicant and, if applicable, its co-applicant(s) have sufficient in-house project management experience?	5
1.2 To what extent does the lead applicant and, if applicable, its co-applicant(s) have sufficient in-house technical expertise?	5
2. Relevance	30
Score transferred from the Concept Note evaluation	Score to be inserted ¹⁶
3. Design of the action	20
3.1 How coherent is the design of the action (i.e. does the intervention logic as detailed in the logical framework (Annex II) explain the rationale to achieve the expected results? Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the envisaged outputs and outcome(s)? Does the design take external factors (risks and assumptions) into account)?	5x2*
3.2 Does the design reflect a robust analysis of the problems involved and the needs of the relevant stakeholders?	5x2*
4. Implementation approach and added value	25
4.1 Is the action plan clear and feasible? Is the timeline realistic?	5
4.2 To what extent is the choice and level of involvement of co-applicants and/or other implementing partners satisfactory? (in case of no-applicants, score to be reduced)	5
4.3 Does the proposal envision impact on more than one EU MS and targeted countries? Does it offer potential to promote structural intra EU cross-border cooperation, including through the establishment of EU MS consortia and involvement of more than one partner country?	5x2*
4.4 To what extent does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g. innovation, good practices, scope for replication, extension, capitalisation on experience)?	5
5. Sustainability of the action	10

¹⁶ DG HOME reserves the right to revise the Concept Note evaluation score should circumstances in the partner country have changed in the period between Concept Note Submission and the evaluation of the Full Proposal.

<p>5.1 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Financially (if applicable) <i>(e.g. financing of follow-up activities, sources of revenue for covering all future operating and maintenance costs)</i> - Institutionally (if applicable) <i>(will structures allow the results of the action to be sustained at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?)</i> - At policy level (if applicable) <i>(what will be the structural impact of the action — e.g. improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods)</i> - Environmentally (if applicable) <i>(will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?)</i> 	5x2*
6. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action	15
6.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget and the envisaged costs in line with the living costs and average market prices in the countries?	5
6.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the results satisfactory?	5x2*
Maximum total score	110

*This score is multiplied by two (2) because of its importance.

If the total score for Section 1 (financial and operational capacity) is less than 7 points, the application will be rejected. In addition, a minimum overall score of 80 is needed for an award to be made.

Full Application Evaluation grid – LOT 2:

Section	Maximum Score
1. Financial and operational capacity	10
1.1 To what extent does the lead applicant and, if applicable, its co-applicant(s) have sufficient in-house technical expertise and project management experience?	5
1.2 Does the lead applicant have stable and sufficient sources of finance?	5
2. Relevance	30
Score transferred from the Concept Note evaluation¹⁷	Score to be inserted ¹⁸
3. Design of the action	20
3.1 How coherent is the design of the action (i.e. does the intervention logic as detailed in the logical framework (Annex II) explain the rationale to achieve the expected results? Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the envisaged outputs and outcome(s)? Does the design take external factors (risks and assumptions) into account)?	5x2*
3.2 Does the design reflect a robust analysis of the problems involved and the needs of the relevant stakeholders?	5
3.3 How complementary is the action with other past and ongoing labour mobility initiatives (funded by the EU or otherwise) within the same country/region? Does it avoid overlap?	5

¹⁷ The MPF Team will insert the scores for respective grids.

¹⁸ DG HOME reserves the right to revise the Concept Note evaluation score should circumstances in the partner country have changed in the period between Concept Note Submission and the evaluation of the Full Proposal.

4. Implementation approach and added value	25
4.1 Is the action plan clear and feasible? Is the timeline realistic?	5
4.2 To what extent is the choice and level of involvement of co-applicants and/or other implementing partners satisfactory?	5
4.3 Does the proposal envision impact on more than one EU MS and targeted countries? Does it offer potential to promote structural intra EU cross-border cooperation, including through the establishment of EU MS consortia and involvement of more than one partner country?	5x2*
4.4 To what extent does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g. the promotion or consolidation of public-private partnerships, innovation, good practices, scope for replication, extension, capitalisation on experience?)	5
5. Sustainability of the action	10
5.1 Are the expected results of the proposed action <i>financially</i> sustainable (if applicable)? (e.g. <i>financing of follow-up activities, sources of revenue for covering all future operating and maintenance costs</i>)	5
5.2 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable from the following perspectives? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Institutionally</i> (if applicable) (<i>will structures allow the results of the action to be sustained at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?</i>) - <i>At policy level</i> (if applicable) (<i>what will be the structural impact of the action — e.g. improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods</i>) - <i>Environmentally</i> (if applicable) (<i>will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?</i>) 	5
6. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action	15
6.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget and the envisaged costs in line with the living costs and market prices in the countries?	5
6.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the results satisfactory?	5x2*
Maximum total score	110

*This score is multiplied by two (2) because of its importance.

If the total score for Section 1 (financial and operational capacity) is less than 7 points, the application will be rejected. In addition, minimum overall score of 80 is needed for an award to be made.

2.2. NOTIFICATION OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S DECISION

The lead applicants will be informed in writing of the Contracting Authority's decision concerning their application and, if rejected, the reasons for the negative decision.

An applicant believing that its application has been rejected based on an error or irregularity during the award process may lodge a complaint.

Applicants and, if they are legal entities, persons who have powers of representation, decision-making or control over them, are informed that should they be in one of the situations of early detection or exclusion, their personal details (name, given name if natural person, address, legal form and name and given name of the persons with powers of representation, decision-making or control, if legal person) may be registered in the early detection and exclusion system and communicated to the persons and entities concerned in relation to the award or the execution of a grant contract.

For more information, you may consult the privacy statement available [here](#).

2.2.1. Indicative timetable

This is a rolling Call for Proposals. Applicants may propose projects (i.e. submit a Concept Note) at any time but ALL projects proposed must complete implementation on or before 30 November 2028).

ICMPD will respond to Concept Notes submitted within 30 calendar days with either an invitation to submit a Full Application or a rejection.

Should an applicant be invited, it will have 60 calendar days as a standard to submit a Full Application. Additional time may be formally requested and agreed with due motivation (see 2.1.5 above).

ICMPD will respond to Full Applications within 60 calendar days of submission with the outcome of the Evaluation Committee.

Approximately 60 calendar days should be envisaged between confirmation of award and contract signature for possible needed adjustments to the proposed action.

Stages	Indicative timeline
Concept Note submission	Anytime until depletion of funds/closure of Call
Confirmation of receipt of Concept Note	Upon submission on the MPF website (automated message)
Concept Note rejection or invitation to submit Full Application	Within 30 calendar days from submission of Concept Note
Deadline for Full Application	Within 60 calendar days from invitation to submit Full Application
Confirmation of receipt of Full Application	Upon submission on the MPF website (automated message)
Communication of outcome of the Full Application evaluation process	Within 60 calendar days from submission of Full Application
Possible adjustments of proposed action and signature of Grant Contract	Approximately within 60 calendar days from positive award decision
Starting date of the action	Starting date will be agreed upon with the beneficiary and specified in the Grant Contract.
Latest possible end of implementation of awarded actions	30 November 2028

2.3. CONDITIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AFTER THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S DECISION TO AWARD A GRANT

Following the decision to award a grant, the beneficiary(ies) will be offered a contract based on the [standard grant contract](#). By signing the Grant Application Form, the applicants agree, if awarded a grant, to accept the contractual conditions of the standard grant contract.

2.4. DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED

For the Concept Note:

Lot 1 Concept Note OR Lot 2 Concept Note form	Typed directly on the MPF application portal
If the lead applicant is not a central governmental body (e.g. local or regional body), a <u>letter of support</u> from a relevant central body in the EU member state	Signed letter (PDF)
In case of no co-applicants from the target partner country(ies), a letter of support from the key concerned central government institution(s) in the partner country(ies).	Signed letter (PDF)

For the Full Application (following and conditional to approval of Concept Note):

Grant Application Form	Typed directly on the MPF application portal
Grant Application Annex I - Action Plan	Filled (Microsoft Word)
Grant Application Annex II - Logical Framework	Typed directly on the MPF application portal
Grant Application Annex III - Budget for the Action	Filled (Microsoft Excel)
Grant Application Annex IV – Financial and Operational Capacity Form to be completed by each applicant and co-applicant	Filled (Microsoft Word)
Grant Application Annex V - Declaration on honour to be completed by each applicant and co-applicant that is not a public body or an International Organisation (IO)	Filled and signed (PDF)
Grant Application Annex VI – Legal Entity Form to be completed for the lead applicant only	Filled and signed (PDF)

2.5. GRANT CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Grant Contract documents and templates in case of award (for information only):

- Annex I: Special Conditions - Grant Contract Template
- Annex II: General Conditions applicable to an ICMPD-financed grant contract
- Annex III: Budget for the Action
- Annex IV: Rules for procurement by Grant Beneficiaries
- Annex V-1: Template of Payment Request for Grant Contract
- Annex V-2: Business Partner Registration Form / Financial Identification Form
- Annex VI-1: Interim Narrative Report template
- Annex VI-2: Final Narrative Report template
- Annex VI-3: Financial Report template
- Annex VII: Terms of Reference for an Expenditure Verification of a Grant Contract
- Annex VII-I: Table of Transactions and Errors
- Annex VIII: Pre-financing Guarantee
- Annex IX: Transfer of Ownership of Assets
- Annex X: Provisional Financial Report

All documents are available [here](#).

2.6. DATA PROTECTION

The submission of a proposal under this call involves the collection, use and processing of personal data. This data will be processed solely for the purpose of evaluating your proposal, subsequent management of your grant and, if applicable and needed, programme monitoring, evaluation and communication.

3. USEFUL LINKS

- [Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund \(AMIF\)](#)
- [Internal Security Fund \(ISF\)](#)
- [Border Management and Visa Instrument \(BMVI\)](#)
- [Joint communication on a Renewed partnership with the Southern Neighbourhood](#)
- [Communication from the European Commission on a New Pact on Migration and Asylum](#)
- [Communication from the European Commission 'Attracting skills and talent to the EU'](#)
- [Communication from the European Commission on Skills and Talent Mobility](#)
- [Handbook on Grant Financial Management for MPF grant beneficiaries](#)
- [Guide to writing Overall & Specific Objectives for MPF Grant Applications](#)
- [EU daily allowance rates \(per diem\)](#)